1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GEORGE A. RILEY (State Bar No. 118304) LUANN L. SIMMONS (State Bar No. 203526) O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 984-8700 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701 E-Mail: griley@omm.com lsimmons@omm.com DAVID M. FURBUSH (State Bar No. 83447) O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 2765 Sand Hill Road Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: (650) 473-2600 Facsimile: (650) 473-2601 E-Mail: dfurbush@omm.com		
10 11	Attorneys for Nominal Defendant APPLE COMPUTER, INC.		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
15	WELLEW DEDOMANT 1 ' C' 1 1 1 1 1 C	G N G 0 6 0 5 2 5 4 WV	
	KELLEY BERGMAN, derivatively on benalf	Case No. C 06-053/4 JW	
16	KELLEY BERGMAN, derivatively on behalf of APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,	Case No. C 06-05374 JW	
16 17	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO	
17	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY	
17 18	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING	
17 18 19	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY	
17 18 19 20	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY	
17 18 19 20 21	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY	
17 18 19 20 21 22	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants, - and -	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants, - and - APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants, - and - APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff, v. FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants, - and - APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff Kelley Bergman and Nominal Defendant Apple Computer, Inc., as follows:

WHEREAS, Bergman filed his Complaint on August 31, 2006;

WHEREAS, counsel are engaged in discussing the possibility of consolidation of this matter with related cases and/or the subsequent filing of a consolidated complaint;

WHEREAS, the undersigned parties wish to further judicial efficiency by deferring responsive pleadings until such time as consolidation issues are addressed;

WHEREAS, the undersigned parties believe and agree that pursuant to L.R. 3-12(a) the instant action is related to the following actions deemed related and currently assigned to Judge Jeremy Fogel: (1) *Karant v. Jobs, et al.*, Case No. C06-04128 JF; (2) *Holbert v. Anderson, et al.*, Case No. C06-04454 JF; (3) *Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust v. Anderson, et al.*, Case No. C06-04493 JW; (4) *Port Authority of Allegheny County Retirement and Disability Allowance Plan for Employees Represented by Local 85 of the Amalgamated Transit Union v. Jobs, et al.*, Case No. C06-04510 PJH; (5) *Alecci v. Anderson, et al.*, Case No. C06-04649; and (6) *Priebe v. Jobs, et al.*, Case No. C06-04703 WHA.

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to LR. 6-1, the undersigned parties stipulate as follows:

All defendants who have been served to date, and all defendants who shall subsequently accept service and request to be covered by this stipulation, shall have an extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to 30 days after the filing of a consolidated complaint, or in the event that the Court denies a motion for consolidation, the above-described defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to Bergman's Complaint no later than 30 days after such denial. The parties further agree that pursuant to L.R. 3-12(a), this case is related to the above-described cases assigned to Judge Jeremy Fogel, and therefore in the interest of judicial economy and efficiency, the parties respectfully request that this case be referred to Judge Jeremy Fogel.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	Dated: September 5, 2006	GEORGE A. RILEY
3		DAVID M. FURBUSH LUANN L. SIMMONS
4		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
5		By: /s/ Luann L. Simmons
6		Luann L. Simmons
7		Attorneys for Nominal Defendant
8	Detail Contourles 5 2000	APPLE COMPUTER, INC.
9	Dated: September 5, 2006	JOSEPH W. COTCHETT BRUCE L. SIMON MARK C. MOLUMPHY
10 11		KELLY L. SOMMERFELD COTCHETT, PITRE, SIMON &
12		MCCARTHY
13		By: /s/ Mark C. Molumphy
14		Mark C. Molumphy
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff KELLEY BERGMAN
16	CEDTIFICATION	OF CONCURRENCE
17		currence in the filing of this document has been
18	obtained from Mark C. Molumphy.	currence in the ming of this document has been
19	Dated: September 5, 2006	GEORGE A. RILEY
20	Dated. September 3, 2000	DAVID M. FURBUSH LUANN L. SIMMONS
21		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
22		
23		By: /s/ Luann L. Simmons
24		Luann L. Simmons Attorneys for Nominal Defendant
25		APPLE COMPUTER, INC.
26		
27		
28		
		2 -
	STIP. & PROPOSED ORDER EXTENDING TO	IME TO RESPOND TO COMPL C 06-05374 JW

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated:9/8/06
4	
5	James I he
6	The Honorable James Ware United States District Judge
7	MP1:987182.1
8	WI 1.90/102.1
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 3 -

STIP. & PROPOSED ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPL. - C 06-05374 JW